

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,715	08/05/2003	Joseph Lakowicz	A8541	5700
23373	7590 01/05/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			GEISEL, KARA E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 01/05/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 - 1			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
0 0		10/633,715	LAKOWICZ ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Kara E. Geisel	2877		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' SHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 October 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-13 is/are withdrawn Claim(s) 24 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 14 and 17-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 15,16 and 23 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a content of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet the oath of the oath of the oath of the oath of the oath	n from consideration. or election requirement. er. epted or b) □ objected to by the total drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>0803, 0704</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 14-24 in the paper filed October 6th, 2005 is acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements filed August 5th, 2003 and July 8th, 2004, have been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 17-18 recite the limitation "said fluorescence emissions" in line 3 and lines 5-6, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim 19 recites the limitation "said plasmon reflection signal and said fluorescence emissions" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 20-21 recite the limitation "said plasmon reflection signal detector and said fluorescence emissions detector" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 22 recites the limitation "said plasmon reflection signal detector and said fluorescence emissions to said fluorescence emissions detector" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/633,715

Art Unit: 2877

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McDermott (USPN 6,361,190).

In regards to claim 14, McDermott discloses a sensor (figs. 3-4) comprising a light emitting diode (LED) (3) having a conical shaped depression on a front end surface (8), the conical shaped depression having curved sides (the nature of a conical shape inherently causes the sides to be curved), the curved sides having a conductive coating (12) on an outer surface with respect to the LED (column 9, lines 1-8), and wherein a radius of curvature of the curve sides is set to provide directional emissions (column 2, lines 13-25). In regards to line 1, with a sensor "using metal enhanced fluorescence" it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ F.2d 1647 (1987).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 24 is allowed over the prior art of record.

Claims 15-16 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As to claim 15, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious a sensor wherein direction emissions are induced by a surface plasmon excitation of a

Application/Control Number: 10/633,715

Art Unit: 2877

fluorophore disposed adjacent to a conductive coating, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 15.

As to claim 15, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious a sensor wherein a porous silica provides a size inclusion/exclusion sensing of different sized weakly fluorescent species, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 15.

As to claim 24, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious a method of detection comprising forming a front end of a LED to have a depression, coating an outer surface of the curved sides of the depression with a conductive material and inducing directional emission by surface plasmon excitation of a fluorophore disposed adjacent to the conductive material, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 24.

Additional Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The prior art made of record is Watanabe et al. (JPO 07-7184).

Watanabe discloses a sensor comprising a light emitting diode (LED) having a conical shaped depression on a front end surface, the conical shaped depression having curved sides, the curved sides having a conductive coating on an outer surface with respect to the LED, and wherein a radius of curvature of the curve sides is set to provide directional emissions.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kara E Geisel whose telephone number is **571 272 2416**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on 571 272 2800 ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273 8300.

Art Unit: 2877

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. SPE Art Unit 2877

KEG December 29, 2005

Gregory I force, Jr. Supervisory Patent Examiner